

DANIEL W. BALLESTEROS (SBN 142003)
dwb@hogefenton.com
PATRICK N. LUNDELL (SBN 273506)
pnl@hogefenton.com
HOGE, FENTON, JONES & APPEL, INC.
Sixty South Market Street, Suite 1400
San Jose, California 95113-2396
Phone: (408) 287-9501
Fax: (408) 287-2583

Attorneys for Plaintiff
MIL-SPEC MONKEY, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FOR DISTRICT-WIDE ASSIGNMENT

MIL-SPEC MONKEY, INC., a California
corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC. a
Delaware Corporation, ACTIVISION
PUBLISHING, INC., a Delaware
corporation, and DOES 1 – 25,
inclusive

Defendants.

Case No. _____

COMPLAINT FOR:

- I. COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**
- II. TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT**
- III. FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN**
- IV. UNFAIR COMPETITION**
- V. COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff MIL-SPEC MONKEY, INC. ("MSM"), by and through its attorneys, alleges
as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action rises from the unauthorized use and misappropriation of certain
designs and marks belonging to Plaintiff MSM by defendants ACTIVISION PUBLISHING,
INC. ("Activision") and ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC. ("Activision Blizzard") and DOES 1-
25, inclusive (collectively, "Defendants"), in violation of the Copyright Act of 1976, 17
U.S.C. §§ 101, *et seq.*, (the "Copyright Act"), Lanham (Trademark) Act of 1946, 15 U.S.C.
§§ 1051, *et seq.* (the "Lanham Act"), California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200,

1 *et seq.*, and the common law of the State of California.

2 **PARTIES**

3 2. Plaintiff MSM is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the
4 State of California, with a principal place of business in San Jose, Santa Clara County,
5 California.

6 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Activision is a corporation organized
7 and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business in
8 Santa Monica, Los Angeles County, California.

9 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Activision Blizzard is a corporation
10 organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of
11 business in Santa Monica, Los Angeles County, California.

12 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Activision is, and at all times relevant
13 herein has been, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant Activision Blizzard.

14 6. MSM is unaware of the true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 10,
15 inclusive, and therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. MSM will ask leave
16 of Court to amend this Complaint to state the true names and capacities of the defendants
17 sued as DOES when the same are ascertained. MSM is informed and believes, and based
18 thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants are responsible in some
19 manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that MSM's damages, as herein alleged,
20 were proximately caused by their conduct.

21 7. At all material times herein, each defendant was the agent, servant, and
22 employee of their co-defendants, and in doing things herein alleged were acting in the
23 scope of their authority as such agents, servants, and employees, and with the permission
24 and consent of their co-defendants.

25 **JURISDICTION**

26 8. This court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of Counts I, II, and III of this
27 action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because each arises under either the
28 Copyright Act or the Lanham Act, each an act of the Congress of the United States.

8 11. Defendant Activision is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district
9 because it is doing business in this district and the alleged acts of infringement occurred in
0 this judicial district.

12. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) because the events giving rise to this action occurred in this judicial district, namely Defendants have, among other acts, marketed, sold and provided in this judicial district goods and services featuring infringing copies of MSM's copyrighted designs and used marks that are confusingly similar to MSM's and otherwise engaged in acts of unfair competition in this judicial district.

13. This action may be assigned on a district-wide basis within this judicial district, pursuant to this Court's Civil Local Rule 3-2(c), because this action concerns claims of infringement of intellectual property rights held by MSM.

MSM'S ANGRY MONKEY DESIGN AND MARK

-3-

1 of personal sense of identity.

2 15. Among MSM's most well-known designs is the following "Angry Monkey"
3 which is featured on one of MSM's most popular morale patches (the "Angry Monkey
4 Design"):




5
6
7
8 16. The Angry Monkey Design is an original, artistic work created by MSM
9 President Clayton Montgomery and has been assigned, along with all copyrights therein, to
10 MSM. Accordingly, MSM holds the exclusive rights to reproduce, to prepare derivative
11 works from, and to distribute its Angry Monkey Design.

12 17. Since at least 2007, MSM has sold morale patches through its website and
13 online store, including a wide range of patches bearing derivative versions of MSM's
14 original Angry Monkey Design. MSM's website and online store currently advertises and
15 offers for sale the following patches bearing derivative versions of MSM's original Angry
16 Monkey Design:



17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 18. At considerable expense and effort, MSM has extensively promoted its goods

1 and services, including its morale patches, through its website and its online store, at
 2 tradeshow and through the widespread distribution of printed and electronic promotional
 3 materials bearing its Angry Monkey Design and derivative versions thereof, including the
 4 following (the "Angry Monkey Mark"):
 

5
 6
 7
 8 19. In light of its extensive, continuous and exclusive use of its Angry Monkey
 9 Mark, together with the substantial consumer recognition and goodwill engendered
 10 thereby, MSM has established exclusive rights in its Angry Monkey Mark as a trademark
 11 and service mark for its goods and services.

12 20. To ensure the protection of its rights, and to give notice to potential infringers
 13 of such rights, MSM has registered its Angry Monkey Mark with the United States Patent &
 14 Trademark Office ("USPTO") for use in connection with specific services, namely, its "[o]n-
 15 line retailer store featuring clothing, namely, patches, t-shirts, hats, bags and pouches and
 16 tactical gear."

17 **DEFENDANTS' INFRINGEMENT OF**

18 **MSM'S RIGHTS IN ITS ANGRY MONKEY DESIGN AND MARK**

19 21. On information and belief, Defendants have published and currently publish
 20 the *Call of Duty* series of video games, including the tenth installment in that series titled
 21 *Call of Duty: Ghosts* ("CoD:Ghosts").

22 22. On information and belief, on or about November 5, 2013, Defendants
 23 released versions of the CoD:Ghosts video game in the United States for the PlayStation
 24 3, Xbox 360, and Wii U video game consoles, and for Microsoft Windows personal
 25 computers.

26 23. Defendants' CoD:Ghosts video game is a first-person military combat
 27 simulation that includes two primary game modes: (i) a single-player campaign featuring an
 28 elaborate, playable storyline ("Single-Player Mode"), and (ii) an online, highly-

1 customizable, multi-player game mode (“Online Multi-Player Mode”). CoD:Ghosts’ Single-
2 Player Mode involves the story of a fictitious, clandestine U.S. military unit, “The Ghosts,”
3 that spans more than a decade, starting in the year 2017. CoD:Ghosts’ Online Multi-Player
4 Mode features no such storyline or plot and, instead, allows players to compete against
5 each other in various online arenas, or “maps.”

6 24. On information and belief, CoD:Ghosts’ Online Multi-Player Mode was
7 substantially revised from prior installments in Defendants’ *Call of Duty* series of video
8 games, and is the first installment in the series which allows players to customize their in-
9 game avatars in many ways, including by selecting the clothing and patches that appear on
10 their avatars during gameplay.

11 25. On information and belief, the ability to create one’s own player identity by
12 selecting attributes, including clothing and patches for player avatars factors into consumer
13 decisions over whether to purchase the CoD:Ghosts video game and related goods and
14 services, including additional in-game content, “downloadable content” or “DLC” packs
15 published and distributed by Defendants.

16 26. On information and belief, through the “Customize Appearance” menu in
17 CoD:Ghosts’ Online Multi-Player Mode, each player is allowed to choose certain attributes
18 for his or her avatar from among a limited range of options, including the “patch” that
19 appears on the avatar’s uniform and in the “heads-up displays” of other players when
20 encountered during Online Multi-Player Mode.

21 27. On information and belief, each player of CoD:Ghosts is initially given the
22 option of selecting a patch from among “Standard Issue Patches,” including the following
23 (the “CoD Angry Monkey Design”), as depicted in Online Multi-Player Mode gameplay:



24
25
26
27 28. On information and belief, Defendants assigned particular prominence and
28 importance to the CoD Angry Monkey Design, in that it was chosen by Defendants as one

1 of only 16 “Standard Issue Patches” initially available to every consumer that purchases a
2 copy of CoD:Ghosts.

3 29. According to an annual report filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange
4 Commission by Activision Blizzard, “in the fourth quarter of 2013, in North America and
5 Europe combined, [CoD:Ghosts] was the #1 best-selling game title overall and #1 best-
6 selling game on the next-generation PS4 and Xbox One console platforms.”

7 30. On information and belief, on or about August 14, 2013, Activision published
8 a video titled “*Official Call of Duty: Ghosts Multiplayer Reveal Trailer*” through the website
9 YouTube.com (“CoD:Ghosts Promotional Video”). At or around the 48 second mark of the
10 CoD:Ghosts Promotional Video, Activision invites consumers to “Build Your Own Squad”
11 and, immediately thereafter, shows the CoD Angry Monkey Design, as depicted in the
12 CoD:Ghosts’ “Customize Appearance” menu. In its description of the CoD:Ghosts
13 Promotional Video, Activision asks potential consumers if they are “ready for the next
14 generation of Call of Duty multiplayer,” and instructs them to “Pre-order [CoD:Ghosts]
15 now.”

16 31. On information and belief, Defendants assigned particular prominence and
17 importance to the CoD Angry Monkey Design, in that it is one of fewer than five patch
18 designs featured in Activision’s CoD:Ghosts Promotional Video.

19 32. On information and belief, the CoD:Ghosts Promotional Video has been
20 viewed by consumers and potential consumers more than 8,500,000 times since it was
21 initially posted to Youtube.com by Activision.

22 33. Defendants’ use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design in CoD:Ghosts and in the
23 CoD:Ghosts Promotional Video has created and is likely to continue to create consumer
24 confusion as to the source, affiliation, or sponsorship of the CoD Angry Monkey Design
25 featured in the CoD:Ghosts video game.

26 34. Defendants deliberately and willfully used and continue to use the CoD Angry
27 Monkey Design so as to cause confusion or mistake or to deceive consumers.

28 //

COUNT I

Copyright Infringement

(17 U.S.C. § 501, et seq.)

35. MSM adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 34 of this Complaint as though set forth fully herein.

36. By the acts alleged herein, Defendants have engaged in copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C § 501.

37. MSM's Angry Monkey Design contains a substantial amount of original material that constitutes copyrightable subject matter pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 102(a) and which is protected under the Copyright Act.

38. MSM has at all times complied in all respects with the Copyright Act of 1976 and all other laws of the United States with regard to MSM's copyrights in its Angry Monkey Design.

39. MSM's Angry Monkey Design is the subject of United States Copyright Application No. 1-1448675911, filed with the United States Copyright Registrar on May 20, 2014, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

40. MSM is the legal and exclusive owner of all copyrights in MSM's Angry Monkey Design, including the exclusive right to reproduce, to prepare derivative works from, and to distribute MSM's Angry Monkey Design.

41. Defendants have reproduced MSM's Angry Monkey Design and/or its original, constituent elements, created derivative works from MSM's Angry Monkey Design, and used said reproductions and derivative works in Defendants' promotional materials for CoD:Ghosts, including the CoD:Ghosts Promotional Video, all without MSM's authorization or consent.

42. Defendants' actions constitute infringement of MSM's copyrights in its Angry Monkey Design under the Copyright Act.

43. Defendants have knowingly, willfully, and deliberately infringed MSM's copyrights in its Angry Monkey Design.

44. By reason of Defendants' infringing actions, MSM is entitled to recover actual damages and all profits received or otherwise obtained, directly or indirectly, by Defendants from infringement of MSM's copyrights in its Angry Monkey Design in connection with the promotion, advertisement, distribution, and/or sales of the CoD:Ghosts video game, or, in the alternative, statutory damages as provided for in 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

45. As a result of the willful nature of Defendants' foregoing actions, MSM is entitled to enhanced statutory damages as provided for in 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).

46. By reason of Defendants' infringing actions, MSM is entitled to an injunction as provided for in 17 U.S.C. § 502(a).

47. By reason of Defendants' infringing actions, MSM also is entitled to recover her costs and attorneys' fees incurred in this action as provided for in 17 U.S.C. § 505.

COUNT II

Infringement of Registered U.S. Trademark

(15 U.S.C. § 1114)

48. MSM adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 47 of this Complaint as though set forth fully herein.

49. By the acts alleged herein, Defendants have engaged in trademark infringement under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C § 1114.

50. On October 19, 2009, MSM filed an application with the USPTO to register its Angry Monkey Mark and, since that date, Defendants have had notice of MSM's ownership and its priority of use of its Angry Monkey Mark in connection with its goods and services.

51. On May 17, 2011, MSM's Angry Monkey Mark was officially registered with the USPTO for use in connection with any "[o]n-line retailer store featuring clothing, namely, patches, t-shirts, hats, bags and pouches and tactical gear."

52. Accordingly, MSM is the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title and interest in and to its valid and enforceable Angry Monkey Mark, including all rights under U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3,962,658. A copy of MSM's Certificate of Registration for its Angry Monkey Mark (U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3,962,658) is attached hereto

1 as **Exhibit B**.

2 53. MSM's registration of its Angry Monkey Mark on the USPTO's principal
3 register is prima facie evidence that MSM has the exclusive right to use its Angry Monkey
4 Mark in and throughout the United States.

5 54. Prior to Defendants' adoption and use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design,
6 Defendants had at least constructive notice and knowledge of MSM's ownership and
7 registration of the Angry Monkey Mark.

8 55. Defendants, in an attempt to trade on the goodwill and reputation established
9 by MSM under its Angry Monkey Mark, deliberately and willfully used and continue to use
10 the CoD Angry Monkey Design so as to cause confusion or mistake or to deceive
11 consumers. MSM has not consented to Defendants' use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design.

12 56. The goods and services with which Defendants have used and are using the
13 CoD Angry Monkey Design, substantially similar to the goods and services offered by MSM
14 in connection with its Angry Monkey Mark, including the particular services for which MSM
15 has registered its Angry Monkey Mark with the USPTO. Specifically, Defendants have
16 used and are using the CoD Angry Monkey Design to sell morale patches through
17 Defendants' online store accessible to all players of the CoD:Ghosts video game.

18 57. Defendants' unauthorized use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design falsely
19 indicates to consumers, and has caused consumers to mistakenly believe, that
20 Defendants' CoD:Ghosts video game and/or the CoD Angry Monkey Design featured
21 therein is in some manner connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, related to, or
22 approved by MSM.

23 58. The aforementioned acts of Defendants constitute federal trademark
24 infringement in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114 and, therefore, MSM is entitled to monetary
25 damages for such infringement, in an amount to be determined at trial.

26 59. Defendants' acts of infringement have been and continue to be deliberate,
27 willful and wanton, making this case exceptional within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1117.

28 60. MSM has been, is now, and will be irreparably harmed by Defendants'

1 infringement and, unless enjoined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, Defendants
 2 will continue to infringe the MSM's Angry Monkey Mark. There is no adequate remedy at
 3 law for the harm caused by the acts of infringement alleged herein.

4 **COUNT III**

5 **False Designation of Origin**

6 **(15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))**

7 61. MSM adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in
 8 Paragraphs 1 through 60 of this Complaint as though set forth fully herein.

9 62. By the acts alleged herein, Defendants have falsely designated MSM as the
 10 origin of certain goods and services in violation of the Lanham Act 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

11 63. As a result of MSM's extensive use and promotion of its Angry Monkey Mark,
 12 such mark enjoys considerable goodwill, widespread recognition, and secondary meaning
 13 in commerce, and has become associated with MSM as its single source of origin.

14 64. Defendants' unauthorized use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design falsely
 15 suggests that the patches provided in the CoD:Ghosts video game are in some manner
 16 connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, related to, or approved by MSM.

17 65. Defendants' unauthorized use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design as alleged
 18 herein violates Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

19 66. The intentional nature of Defendants' acts makes this case exceptional under
 20 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

21 67. MSM has been, is now, and will be harmed by Defendants' false designation
 22 of origin and, unless enjoined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, Defendants will
 23 continue to falsely suggest that Defendants' CoD:Ghosts video game and/or the patches
 24 featured therein are in some manner connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, related
 25 to, or approved by MSM, thereby diluting the value of MSM's Angry Monkey Mark. There is
 26 no adequate remedy at law for the harm caused to MSM by the acts of Defendants alleged
 27 herein.

28 //

COUNT IV

California Unfair Competition

(Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.*)

68. MSM adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 67 of this Complaint as though set forth fully herein.

69. By the acts alleged herein, Defendants have violated California Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*

70. As a result of MSM's extensive use and promotion of its Angry Monkey Mark, such mark enjoys considerable goodwill, widespread recognition, and secondary meaning in commerce, and has become associated with MSM as its single source of origin.

71. Defendants' unauthorized use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design in connection with the CoD:Ghosts video game and in related advertising and promotional materials falsely suggests that Defendants' CoD:Ghosts video game and/or the patches featured therein are in some manner connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, related to, or approved by MSM.

72. Defendants' conduct constitutes unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices and unfair, deceptive, and misleading advertising in violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*

73. On information and belief, MSM has sustained injury, loss, and damage as a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' unlawful acts and practices alleged herein in the form of lost profits, revenues, and loss of and/or harm to goodwill.

74. MSM is entitled to restitution, including disgorgement of profits, for Defendants' unfair competition with MSM, in an amount to be proven at trial.

75. MSM has been, is now, and will be irreparably harmed by Defendants' acts of unfair competition with MSM and, unless enjoined by the Court, Defendants will continue to unfairly compete with MSM. There is no adequate remedy at law for the harm caused by the acts of Defendants as alleged herein.

//

COUNT V

Trademark Infringement

(California Common Law)

76. MSM adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 75 of this Complaint as though set forth fully herein.

77. By the acts alleged herein, Defendants have infringed MSM's rights in the Angry Monkey Mark under the common law of the State of California.

78. Through MSM's extensive, continuous and exclusive use of its Angry Monkey Mark, in connection with its goods and services since at least as early as August 8, 2006, consumers and prospective consumers have come to associate the Angry Monkey Mark with MSM and MSM's goods and services.

79. MSM has thereby established a strong reputation among consumers for the quality of its goods and services and has engendered substantial goodwill in its Angry Monkey Design.

80. As a result, MSM holds the exclusive right, under the common law of the State of California, to use the Angry Monkey Mark in connection with its goods and services, and the right to prevent others from using marks or designs that are likely to confuse consumers or that threaten to dilute the strength of its Angry Monkey Mark.

81. Defendants' unauthorized use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design falsely indicates to consumers, and has caused consumers to mistakenly believe, that Defendants' CoD:Ghosts video game and/or the CoD Angry Monkey Design featured therein is in some manner connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, related to, or approved by MSM.

82. The foregoing acts of Defendants constitute trademark infringement under the common law of the State of California.

83. Defendants have been unjustly enriched by reason of their infringement of MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Design under the common law of the State of California, and therefore MSM is entitled to monetary damages for Defendants' infringement, in an

1 amount to be proved at trial.

2 84. MSM has been, is now, and will be irreparably harmed by Defendants'
3 infringing acts and, unless enjoined by the Court, Defendants will continue to infringe
4 MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Mark. There is no adequate remedy at law for the harm
5 caused by the acts of infringement alleged herein.

6 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

7 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff MIL-SPEC MONKEY, INC. requests judgment as follows:

8 **a.) Count I: Copyright Infringement (17 U.S.C. § 501, et seq.)**

- 9 (1) An order finding that Defendants have infringed MSM's copyrights in its
10 Angry Monkey Design under 17 U.S.C. § 501;
- 11 (2) An order permanently enjoining Defendants, from continued acts and
12 further acts of infringement of MSM's copyrights in its Angry Monkey
13 Design, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502;
- 14 (3) An order compelling Defendants to pay to MSM all profits derived from
15 Defendants' infringement of MSM's copyrights in its Angry Monkey Design
16 together with MSM's actual damages resulting from Defendants'
17 infringement of MSM's copyrights in its Angry Monkey Design in an
18 amount to be proven at trial, or, in the alternative, statutory damages for
19 Defendants' infringement of MSM's copyrights in its Angry Monkey
20 Design, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504;
- 21 (4) An order compelling Defendants to account to MSM for all monies derived
22 from Defendants' infringement of MSM's copyrights in its Angry Monkey
23 Design and imposing a constructive trust on all such monies;
- 24 (5) An order compelling Defendants to pay MSM its full costs and attorneys'
25 fees, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;

26 **b.) Count II: Trademark Infringement (15 U.S.C. § 1114)**

- 27 (1) An order finding that Defendants have infringed MSM's rights in its Angry
28 Monkey Mark as a registered trademark under 15 U.S.C. § 1114;

- (2) An order permanently enjoining Defendants from further activities that would infringe or dilute MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Mark, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116;
- (3) An order compelling Defendants to pay to MSM all profits derived from Defendants' infringement of MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Mark, together with MSM's actual damages resulting from Defendants' infringement of MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Mark in an amount to be proven at trial, and MSM's costs incurred in pursuing this action, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a);
- (4) An order finding Defendants' infringement of MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Mark to be malicious, fraudulent, deliberate, or willful, making this action an exceptional case within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), and therefore compelling Defendants to pay MSM its reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in pursuing this action;

c.) **Count III: False Designation of Origin (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))**

- (1) An order finding that Defendants unauthorized use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design falsely suggests that the patches provided in the CoD:Ghosts video game are in some manner connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, related to, or approved by MSM;
- (2) An order permanently enjoining Defendants from falsely suggesting that any of Defendants' goods or services are connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, related to, or approved by MSM under 15 U.S.C. § 1116;
- (3) An order compelling Defendants to pay to MSM all profits derived from Defendants' false suggestion that the patches provided in the CoD:Ghosts video game are in some manner connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, related to, or approved by MSM, together with MSM's actual damages resulting therefrom, in an amount to be proven at trial, and MSM's costs incurred in pursuing this action, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1117(a);

- (4) An order finding Defendants' false suggestion that the patches provided in the CoD:Ghosts video game are in some manner connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, related to, or approved by MSM to be malicious, fraudulent, deliberate, or willful, making this action an exceptional case within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), and therefore compelling Defendants to pay MSM its reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in pursuing this action;

d.) **Count IV: Unfair Competition (Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.)**

- (1) An order finding that Defendants have engaged in unfair competition with respect to Defendants' use of the CoD Angry Monkey Design under Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.;
- (2) An order permanently enjoining Defendants from engaging in further unfair or wrongful business practices, pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203;
- (3) An order appointing a receiver to restore to MSM any money or property acquired by Defendants by means of unfair competition, pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203;
- (4) An order finding that Defendants' acts of unfair competition were malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive, and ordering Defendants to pay punitive damages to MSM, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3294;

e.) **Count V: Trademark Infringement (California Common Law)**

- (1) An order finding that Defendants have infringed MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Mark under the common law of the State of California;
- (2) An order permanently enjoining Defendants from engaging in further acts of infringement of MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Mark under the common law of the State of California;
- (3) An order compelling Defendants to pay to MSM all actual damages

suffered by MSM as a result of Defendants' infringement of MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Mark under the common law of the State of California, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3281, *et seq.*;

(4) An order finding that Defendants' infringement of MSM's rights in its Angry Monkey Mark was malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive, and ordering Defendants to pay punitive damages to MSM, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3294;

f.) An order compelling Defendants to pay MSM its prejudgment and post judgment interest with respect to any damages or other monetary relief awarded to MSM; and

g.) An order awarding MSM such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and equitable under the circumstances.

DATED: May 21, 2014

HOGE, FENTON, JONES & APPEL, INC.

By /S/ Daniel W. Ballesteros
Daniel W. Ballesteros
Attorneys for Plaintiff
MIL-SPEC MONKEY, INC.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiff MSM demands a jury trial.

DATED: May 21, 2014

HOGUE, FENTON, JONES & APPEL, INC.

By /S/ Daniel W. Ballesteros

Daniel W. Ballesteros
Attorneys for Plaintiff
MIL-SPEC MONKEY, INC.